arXiv:1702.07058v1 [math.RT] 23 Feb 2017

CONIC DIVISORIAL IDEALS OF HIBI RINGS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS TO NON-COMMUTATIVE CREPANT RESOLUTIONS

AKIHIRO HIGASHITANI AND YUSUKE NAKAJIMA

Abstract. In this paper, we study divisorial ideals of a Hibi ring which is a toric ring arising from a partially ordered set. We especially characterize the special class of divisorial ideals called conic using the associated partially ordered set. Using our observation of conic divisorial ideals, we also construct a module giving a non-commutative crepant resolution (= NCCR) of the Segre product of polynomial rings. Furthermore, applying the operation called mutation, we give other modules giving NCCRs of it.

Since conic divisorial ideals are related with the structure of the Frobenius push-forward of toric rings, we discuss them from a viewpoint of positive characteristic commutative algebra in Appendix.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Conic divisorial ideals of Hibi rings 3
3. Non-commutative crepant resolutions of Segre products of polynomial rings 8
4. Mutations of NCCRs for toric rings 12
Appendix A. Generalized F-signature of Hibi rings 14
References 19

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study a certain class of toric rings called Hibi rings. Thus, we start this paper with introducing toric rings. Let $\mathsf{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a lattice of rank d and let $\mathsf{M} \coloneqq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathsf{N}, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice of N. We set $\mathsf{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \coloneqq \mathsf{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \coloneqq \mathsf{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and denote an inner product by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathsf{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\tau \coloneqq \mathrm{Cone}(v_1, \cdots, v_n) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v_n \subset \mathsf{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

be a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone of dimension d generated by $v_1, \cdots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We assume this system of generators is minimal. For each generator, we define a linear form $\sigma_i(-) \coloneqq \langle -, v_i \rangle$, and denote $\sigma(-) \coloneqq (\sigma_1(-), \cdots, \sigma_n(-))$. We consider the dual cone $\tau^\vee$:

$$\tau^\vee \coloneqq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \cdots, n\}.$$

Then, $\tau^\vee \cap \mathsf{M}$ is a positive normal affine monoid, and hence we define the toric ring

$$R \coloneqq \Bbbk[\tau^\vee \cap \mathsf{M}] = \Bbbk[t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_d^{m_d} \mid (m_1, \cdots, m_d) \in \tau^\vee \cap \mathsf{M}],$$

where $\Bbbk$ is an algebraically closed field. It is known that R is a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay (= CM) normal domain. In addition, for each $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{a}) \coloneqq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{M} \mid (\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \sigma_n(\mathbf{x})) \geq (a_1, \cdots, a_n)\}.$$

Then, we define the ideal $T(\mathbf{a}) \subset R$ generated by all monomials whose exponent vector is in $\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{a})$. By the definition, we have $T(\mathbf{a}) = T(\lceil \mathbf{a} \rceil)$, where $\lceil\ \rceil$ means the round up and $\lceil \mathbf{a} \rceil = (\lceil a_1 \rceil, \cdots, \lceil a_n \rceil)$. This $T(\mathbf{a})$ is a divisorial ideal (rank one reflexive module), and any divisorial ideal of R takes this form (see e.g., [BG2, Theorem 4.54]). Therefore, each divisorial ideal is represented by $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Clearly, we have $\mathbb{T}(0) = \tau^\vee \cap \mathsf{M}$ and $T(0) = R$. In particular, we are interested in a divisorial ideal that is *maximal Cohen-Macaulay* (= *MCM*) as an R-module, that is, an R-module whose depth coincides with $\dim R = d$. Rank one MCM modules of toric rings have been studied in several papers e.g., [Sta2, VdB1, Don, BG1, Bae, Bru], and the number of isomorphism classes of rank one MCM modules is finite in particular [BG1, Corollary 5.2].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C14; Secondary 06A11, 13A35, 14M25, 16S38.

Key words and phrases. Hibi rings, Segre products of polynomial rings, Conic divisorial ideals, Non-commutative (crepant) resolutions.

In what follows, we will pay attention to a certain class of divisorial ideals called *conic class*. As we will see below, a conic divisorial ideal is a rank one MCM module.

Definition 1.1 (see e.g., [BG1, Section 3])**.** We say that a divisorial ideal $T(\mathbf{a})$ is *conic* if there exist $\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\mathbf{a} = \ulcorner \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \urcorner$.

In the rest, we denote the set of isomorphism classes of conic divisorial ideals of a toric ring R by $\mathcal{C}(R)$, especially this is a finite set. An advantage to considering conic classes is that we can obtain a non-commutative ring having the finite global dimension (see Theorem 1.2 below). A ring having the finite global dimension was appeared in M. Auslander's works e.g., [Aus] and this also relates with the dimension of derived categories [Rou]. On the other hand, M. Van den Bergh showed an equivalence between the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on a crepant resolution of a certain nice singularity and that of finitely generated modules of a non-commutative ring having the finite global dimension. Such a ring is called a non-commutative crepant resolution (abbreviated as NCCR, see Definition 3.1), and this gives a new interaction between algebraic geometry, commutative algebra, and representation theory of algebras. Subsequently, relationships with cluster tilting theory and their variants have been discovered (e.g. [Iya, IR, IW]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a toric ring as above. Concerning a conic divisorial ideal, we have the followings:*

(1) ([BG1, Proposition 3.6], [SmVdB, Proposition 3.2.3]) *Conic divisorial ideals are precisely modules appearing in $R^{1/m}$ as direct summands for $m \gg 0$, where $R^{1/m} = \Bbbk[\tau^\vee \cap \frac{1}{m}\mathsf{M}]$ is the R-module consisted of m-th roots of elements in R.*

(2) ([ŠpVdB1, Proposition 1.3.6]) *For $m \gg 0$, $\mathrm{End}_R(R^{1/m})$ has the finite global dimension, that is, $\mathrm{End}_R(R^{1/m})$ is a non-commutative resolution of R (see Definition 3.1).*

In this way, conic divisorial ideals have nice properties. Also, we remark that if $\mathrm{char}\,\Bbbk = p$, the R-module $R^{1/p}$ can be obtained via the Frobenius morphism and the structure of $R^{1/p}$ is important in positive characteristic commutative algebra (see Appendix A). However, it is difficult to determine which divisorial ideals correspond to conic classes.

In this paper, we will give the precise description of conic divisorial ideals of a Hibi ring, which is a toric ring constructed from a partially ordered set (= poset). Let $R = \Bbbk[P]$ be a Hibi ring associated with a poset P (see subsection 2.2). As we will see in subsection 2.3, the class group of R is $\mathrm{Cl}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ where $d-1$ is the number of elements in P and n is the number of edges of the Hasse diagram of $\widehat{P}$, and hence each divisorial ideal is described as $T(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-d})$. Then, conic divisorial ideals are characterized as follows, and these are precisely modules appearing in $R^{1/m}$ for sufficiently large $m \gg 0$.

Theorem 1.3 (see Theorem 2.4)**.** *Let R be a Hibi ring associated with a poset P. Then, $T(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-d})$ is conic if and only if $(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-d}) \in \mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ (see (2.4) for the precise definition of $\mathcal{C}(P)$).*

Furthermore, as applications of this theorem, we also study NCCRs for Segre products of polynomial rings, which are realized as Hibi rings. Especially, using our observation on conic divisorial ideals and the method studied in [ŠpVdB1], we show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 (see Theorem 3.6)**.** *Let $R = S_1 \# S_2 \# \cdots \# S_t$ be the Segre product of r-dimensional polynomial rings with $r \geq 2$. We see that R admits an NCCR $\mathrm{End}_R(M)$ where M is the direct sum of conic divisorial ideals.*

By applying the operation so-called "mutation" to the NCCR shown in the above theorem, we can obtain other modules giving NCCRs of R, whose endomorphism rings are all derived equivalent (see Section 4).

The content of this paper is the following. In Section 2, we review some facts regarding conic divisorial ideals. We then give the precise description of conic divisorial ideals for Hibi rings in terms of their associated poset structure (see Theorem 2.4). In Section 3, we pay attention to the Segre product of polynomial rings and give an NCCR of it (see Theorem 3.6). In Section 4, we introduce the mutation, and apply it to the NCCR obtained in Section 3. Since conic divisorial ideals are related with positive characteristic commutative algebra, we also discuss conic divisorial ideals from this viewpoint in Appendix A. Especially, we give some computations of numerical invariants.

Notations and Conventions. Throughout this paper, we suppose that $\Bbbk$ is an algebraically closed field. We denote by $\mathsf{add}_R M$ the category consisting of direct summands of finite direct sums of some copies of an R-module M, denote by $\mathsf{ref}(R)$ the category of reflexive R-modules. We denote by $\mathrm{Cl}(R)$

the class group of R, and let $\mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{Cl}(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. We also denote by $\mathrm{X}(G)$ the character group of G, and let $\mathrm{X}(G)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{X}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, we denote by $\mathrm{Y}(G)$ the group of one parameter subgroups of G, and let $\mathrm{Y}(G)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{Y}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. In addition, we denote the R-dual functor by $(-)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$.

2. Conic divisorial ideals of Hibi rings

2.1. **Preliminaries on conic divisorial ideals.** Work with the same notations as in the previous section. In this subsection, we review some basic facts concerning divisorial ideals of a toric ring $R = \Bbbk[\tau^\vee \cap \mathsf{M}]$ with $\tau = \mathrm{Cone}(v_1, \cdots, v_n) \subset \mathsf{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$. As we mentioned before, any divisorial ideal takes the form $T(\mathbf{a})$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. It is known that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathsf{M} = \mathbb{Z}^d \xrightarrow{\sigma(-)} \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathrm{Cl}(R) \to 0, \tag{2.1}$$

and hence we see that for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $T(\mathbf{a}) \cong T(\mathbf{a}')$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{y} \in \mathsf{M}$ such that $a_i = a'_i + \sigma_i(\mathbf{y})$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$ (see e.g. [BG2, Corollary 4.56]). Let $\mathfrak{p}_i \coloneqq T(\delta_{i1}, \cdots, \delta_{in})$ where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, and consider the prime divisor $\mathcal{D}_i \coloneqq \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \operatorname{Spec} R/\mathfrak{p}_i$ on $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Then, a divisorial ideal $T(\mathbf{a}) = T(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ corresponds to the Weil divisor $-(a_1\mathcal{D}_1 + \cdots + a_n\mathcal{D}_n)$. In addition, the exact sequence (2.1) gives the relation:

$$v_1\mathcal{D}_1 + \cdots + v_n\mathcal{D}_n = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

A divisorial ideal $T(\mathbf{a})$ is called *conic* if we can take $\mathbf{a} = \ulcorner \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \urcorner$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$, equivalently there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $a_i - 1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq a_i$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$. If $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ with $\mathbf{y} \in \mathsf{M}$, then we see that $T(\sigma(\mathbf{x}')) \cong T(\sigma(\mathbf{x}))$. Therefore, a conic divisorial ideal is determined by an element in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathsf{M}$ up to isomorphism. We then discuss another description of conic divisorial ideals (cf. [ŠpVdB1, 11.6]). Using the exact sequence (2.1), we see that

$$\mathsf{M} \cong \{(b_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum_i b_i\mathcal{D}_i = 0 \text{ in } \mathrm{Cl}(R)\},$$

$$\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \{(b_i)_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_i b_i\mathcal{D}_i = 0 \text{ in } \mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}}\},$$

where $\sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) = b_i$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{M}$ and $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Thus, we may consider $\mathbf{u} \in \mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ as $(u_i)_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\sum_i u_i\mathcal{D}_i = 0$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}}$ where $\sigma_i(\mathbf{u}) = u_i$, and hence we may write

$$\mathbb{T}(\sigma(\mathbf{u})) = \{(b_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum_i b_i\mathcal{D}_i = 0 \text{ in } \mathrm{Cl}(R),\ b_i \geq u_i\}.$$

Here, we write $u_i = a_i + \delta_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta_i \in (-1, 0]$, and set $c_i \coloneqq b_i - a_i \geq \delta_i$, $\alpha = -\sum_i a_i\mathcal{D}_i \in \mathrm{Cl}(R)$. Then, we see that

$$\mathbb{T}(\sigma(\mathbf{u})) = (a_i)_i + \{(c_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0} \mid \sum_i c_i\mathcal{D}_i = \alpha\},$$

and hence $T(\sigma(\mathbf{u}))$ is isomorphic to the divisorial ideal corresponding to $\alpha = -\sum_i a_i\mathcal{D}_i$, which is $T(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$. Here, we note that $\ulcorner \sigma_i(\mathbf{u}) \urcorner = a_i$. Furthermore, we remark that $0 = \sum_i u_i\mathcal{D}_i = -\alpha + \sum_i \delta_i\mathcal{D}_i$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}}$, thus $\alpha = \sum_i \delta_i\mathcal{D}_i$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We easily follow the converse of this argument.

As a conclusion of these observations, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (see also [Bru, Corollary 1.2], [SmVdB, Proposition 3.2.3])**.** *Work with the same notations as above. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the followings.*

(1) *A conic divisorial ideal $T(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$;*
(2) *A divisor $\sum_i \delta_i\mathcal{D}_i$ with $(\delta_i)_i \in (-1, 0]^n$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}}$;*
(3) *A full-dimensional cell of the decomposition of the semi-open cube $(-1, 0]^d$ by hyperplanes $H_{i,m} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) = m\}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i = 1, \cdots, n$.*

Here, we identify the cell $\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_{i,a_i}$ with $T(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$, where $L_{i,a_i} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid a_i - 1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq a_i\}$.

As we mentioned in Theorem 1.2, conic divisorial ideals are precisely modules appearing in $R^{1/m}$ as direct summands for $m \gg 0$. Since $R^{1/m}$ is an MCM R-module, a conic divisorial ideal is also an MCM R-module. We notice that the number of non-isomorphic conic divisorial ideals is finite because that of rank one MCM R-modules is finite [BG1, Corollary 5.2]. Also, there exists a divisorial ideal that is a rank one MCM module but not conic (see e.g., [Bae, Bru]). If τ is simplicial, every divisorial ideal is conic, because a torsion element in $\mathrm{Cl}(R)$ is conic [BG1, Theorem 3.2].

2.2. **Preliminaries on Hibi rings.** We now turn our attention to a certain toric ring which is called a Hibi ring. Let $P = \{p_1, \cdots, p_{d-1}\}$ be a finite partially ordered set equipped with a partial order $\prec$. Throughout the paper, we will call it a *poset* for short. Let

$$\mathcal{O}(P) = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \mid x_i \geq x_j \text{ if } p_i \preceq p_j \text{ in } P,\ 0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, d-1\}.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{O}(P)$ is a lattice polytope, called the *order polytope* of a poset P.

For a poset P, let $\Bbbk[P]$ denote a toric ring defined by setting

$$\Bbbk[P] = \Bbbk[\mathbf{X}^\alpha Y^n \mid \alpha \in n\mathcal{O}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}],$$

where $\mathbf{X}^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}}$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$. This $\Bbbk$-algebra is called the *Hibi ring* of P. The followings are some fundamental properties on Hibi rings, which were originally proved in [Hibi]:

- $\dim \Bbbk[P] = |P| + 1$;
- $\Bbbk[P]$ is a standard greded CM normal domain, where the grading is defined by $\deg(\mathbf{X}^\alpha Y^n) = n$ for $\alpha \in n\mathcal{O}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$;
- $\Bbbk[P]$ is an algebra with straightening laws on P.
- It is known that $\Bbbk[P]$ is Gorenstein if and only if P is pure, where we say that P is *pure* if all of the maximal chains $p_{i_1} \prec \cdots \prec p_{i_\ell}$ have the same length.

Remark 2.2. Originally, in the paper [Hibi], Hibi rings are defined as a quotient ring which looks like $\Bbbk[X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I(P)]/(X_\alpha X_\beta - X_{\alpha\cup\beta}X_{\alpha\cap\beta})$. Here, $I(P)$ denotes the set of all poset ideals of P, where a subset $I \subset P$ is called a poset ideal if I satisfies that $p \in I$ and $p' \prec p$ imply $p' \in I$, and especially $I(P)$ is a distribute lattice with respect to the partial order defined by inclusion. However, since it is not easy to see from the original description that Hibi rings are really toric rings, we employ the description $\Bbbk[P]$ in this paper, which is of course isomorphic to the original one (see, e.g. [HHN]).

Let $P = \{p_1, \cdots, p_{d-1}\}$. For $p_i, p_j \in P$, we say that p_i *covers* p_j if $p_j \prec p_i$ and there is no $p' \in P$ with $p_i \neq p'$ and $p_j \neq p'$ such that $p_j \prec p' \prec p_i$. Set $\widehat{P} = P \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$, where $\hat{0}$ (resp. $\hat{1}$) is the unique minimal (resp. maximal) element not belonging to a poset P. Let us denote $p_0 = \hat{0}$ and $p_d = \hat{1}$. We say that $e = \{p_i, p_j\}$, where $0 \leq i \neq j \leq d$, is an *edge* of $\widehat{P}$ if e is an edge of the Hasse diagram of $\widehat{P}$, i.e., p_i covers p_j or p_j covers p_i. For each edge $e = \{p_i, p_j\}$ of $\widehat{P}$ with $p_i \prec p_j$, let σ_e be a linear form in $\mathbb{R}^d$ defined by

$$\sigma_e(\mathbf{x}) \coloneqq \begin{cases} x_i - x_j, & \text{if } j \neq d, \\ -x_i, & \text{if } j = d \end{cases}$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{d-1})$. Let $\tau_P = \mathrm{Cone}(\sigma_e \mid e \text{ is an edge of } \widehat{P}) \subset \mathsf{N}_\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, it is known that $\Bbbk[P] = \Bbbk[\tau_P^\vee \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]$.

Let $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ be all the edges of $\widehat{P}$. We set a linear form $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = (\sigma_{e_1}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \sigma_{e_n}(\mathbf{x})) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

2.3. **Conic divisorial ideals of Hibi rings.** In this subsection, we consider conic divisorial ideals of Hibi rings. We retain the notations of the previous subsection.

For $p \in \widehat{P} \setminus \{\hat{1}\}$, let $U(p)$ denote the set of all elements in $\widehat{P}$ which cover p. Similarly, for $p \in \widehat{P} \setminus \{\hat{0}\}$, let $D(p)$ denote the set of all elements in $\widehat{P}$ which are covered by p.

For describing conic divisorial ideals of Hibi rings, we first discuss its divisor class group $\mathrm{Cl}(\Bbbk[P])$. By the definition of $\sigma(-)$ and (2.2), we see that the prime divisor $\mathcal{D}_e$ indexed by the edges e of $\widehat{P}$ satisfies the relations:

$$\sum_{q \in U(p)} \mathcal{D}_{\{q,p\}} = \sum_{q' \in D(p)} \mathcal{D}_{\{p,q'\}} \text{ for } p \in \widehat{P} \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}, \text{ and } \sum_{q \in U(\hat{0})} \mathcal{D}_{\{q,p_0\}} = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Especially, we have that $\mathrm{Cl}(\Bbbk[P]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n/\sigma(\mathbb{Z}^d) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ (see [HHN]).

In what follows, we will give a correspondence of which vectors in $\mathrm{Cl}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ describe the conic divisorial ideals. We say that a sequence $C = (p_{k_1}, \cdots, p_{k_m})$ is a *cycle* in $\widehat{P}$ if C forms a cycle in the Hasse diagram of $\widehat{P}$, i.e., $p_{k_i} \neq p_{k_j}$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$ and each $\{p_{k_i}, p_{k_{i+1}}\}$ is an edge of $\widehat{P}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, where $p_{k_{m+1}} = p_{k_1}$. Moreover, we say that a cycle C is a *circuit* if $\{p_{k_i}, p_{k_j}\}$ is not an edge of $\widehat{P}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ with $|i - j| \geq 2$. We say that a set of d edges $e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_d}$ of $\widehat{P}$ is a *spanning tree* if they

form a spanning tree of the Hasse diagram of $\widehat{P}$, i.e., any element in $\widehat{P}$ is an endpoint of some edge e_{i_j} and the edges $e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_d}$ do not form cycles. Remark that a spanning tree is not unique.

Fix a spanning tree of $\widehat{P}$ and let $e_1, \cdots, e_d$ be its edges for simplicity of notation. Thus, let $e_{d+1}, \cdots, e_n$ be the remaining edges of $\widehat{P}$. For a cycle $C = (p_{k_1}, \cdots, p_{k_m})$ in $\widehat{P}$, let

$$X_C^+ = \{\{p_{k_i}, p_{k_{i+1}}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m,\ p_{k_i} \prec p_{k_{i+1}}\},$$
$$X_C^- = \{\{p_{k_i}, p_{k_{i+1}}\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m,\ p_{k_{i+1}} \prec p_{k_i}\},$$
$$Y_C^{\pm} = X_C^{\pm} \cap \{e_1, \cdots, e_d\},\ \text{and}\ \ Z_C^{\pm} = X_C^{\pm} \cap \{e_{d+1}, \cdots, e_n\},$$

where $p_{k_{m+1}} = p_{k_1}$.

Example 2.3. We consider the Hasse diagram of $\widehat{P}$ and the cycle $C = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_5, p_4, p_0)$ shown in the left hand of Figure 1. We fix the spanning tree $\{e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_8\}$ of $\widehat{P}$. In this situation, we have that

$$X_C^+ = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}, \quad Y_C^+ = \{e_2, e_3\}, \quad Z_C^+ = \{e_1\},$$
$$X_C^- = \{e_5, e_6, e_9\}, \quad Y_C^- = \{e_5, e_6\}, \quad Z_C^- = \{e_9\}.$$



FIGURE 1. Example of $X_C^{\pm}, Y_C^{\pm}, Z_C^{\pm}$

Let $\mathcal{C}(P)$ be a convex polytope defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(P) = \left\{ (z_1, \cdots, z_{n-d}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-d} \mid -|X_C^-| + 1 \leq \sum_{e_{d+\ell} \in Z_C^+} z_\ell - \sum_{e_{d+\ell'} \in Z_C^-} z_{\ell'} \leq |X_C^+| - 1 \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $C = (p_{k_1}, \cdots, p_{k_m})$ runs over all circuits in $\widehat{P}$.

Now we are ready to describe the conic divisorial ideals of a Hibi ring.

Theorem 2.4. *Work with the notation as above. Then each point $(z_1, \cdots, z_{n-d}) \in \mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ one-to-one corresponds to the conic divisorial ideal of $\Bbbk[P]$.*

Remark 2.5. From the construction, we see that $\mathcal{C}(P)$ depends on a choice of a spanning tree of $\widehat{P}$, but each point in $\mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ one-to-one corresponds to the conic divisorial ideal of $\Bbbk[P]$ no matter how we choose a spanning tree.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let us fix a spanning tree $e_1, \cdots, e_d$ of $\widehat{P}$. We sometimes denote the linear form σ_{e_i} by σ_i for simplicity. We see that there exists a unimodular transformation $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\phi((-1, 0]^d) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid -1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, \cdots, d\}.$$

In fact, when we regard each σ_i as a vector of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, there is at most one 1 and at most one -1 in the entry of each σ_i and other entries are all 0. Then the matrix whose row vectors are $\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_d$ becomes a totally unimodular matrix. Moreover, since $e_1, \cdots, e_d$ form a spanning tree of $\widehat{P}$, we conclude that the matrix has the determinant ± 1, i.e., is a unimodular matrix. We may define ϕ by this matrix. Since this ϕ does not change a given Hibi ring up to isomorphism, we will work with the linear form σ satisfying $-1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, d$.

Using the one-to-one correspondence mentioned in Lemma 2.1, we first show that $(m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ that represents a conic divisorial ideal $T(m_1, \cdots, m_n)$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ as the element of

$\mathrm{Cl}(R)$. To show this, we consider the decomposition of the semi-open cube $(-1,0]^d$ by the hyperplanes $H_{i,m} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) = m\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $L_{i,m} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid m-1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq m\}$. Since each full-dimensional cell of the decomposition is of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_{i,m_i}$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we identify each full-dimensional cell $\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_{i,m_i}$ of the decomposition with $(m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

(**The first step**): Let $\Pi = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid -1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, \cdots, d\}$. Notice that the intersection of Π and $H_{i,m}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ is just the boundary of Π if they intersect. Hence, in what follows, we may discuss the decomposition of Π by the hyperplanes $H_{i,m}$ for $d+1 \leq i \leq n$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, we only consider the cells $(m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ which are of the form $(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{d}, m_{d+1}, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

(**The second step**): We determine the possible $(m_{d+1}, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ such that $\Pi \cap \bigcap_{i=d+1}^n L_{i,m_i}$ defines a full-dimensional cell.

Fix $e = e_i \in \{e_{d+1}, \cdots, e_n\}$. Since $e_1, \cdots, e_d$ form a spanning tree of $\widehat{P}$, there should exist a unique cycle $C = (p_{k_1}, \cdots, p_{k_m})$ in $\widehat{P}$ with $e = \{p_{k_j}, p_{k_{j+1}}\}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $\{p_{k_\ell}, p_{k_{\ell+1}}\} \in \{e_1, \cdots, e_d\}$ for every $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ with $\ell \neq j$, where $p_{k_{m+1}} = p_{k_1}$. Namely, $Z_C^+ \cup Z_C^- = \{\{p_{k_j}, p_{k_{j+1}}\}\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $e \in Z_C^+$. Now, we can easily see that $\sum_{f \in X_C^+} \sigma_f = \sum_{f' \in X_C^-} \sigma_{f'}$ by the definition of $\sigma_f, \sigma_{f'}$ and $X_C^{\pm}$. Thus, we obtain $\sigma_e = \sum_{f' \in Y_C^-} \sigma_{f'} - \sum_{f \in Y_C^+} \sigma_f$. Recall that for each $\mathbf{x} \in \Pi$, one has $-1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Thus, we obtain that $-|Y_C^-| < \sigma_e(\mathbf{x}) < |Y_C^+|$. Hence, there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \Pi$ with $m-1 < \sigma_e(\mathbf{x}) \leq m$ (i.e., $\mathbf{x} \in \Pi \cap L_{i,m}$) if and only if $-|Y_C^-|+1 \leq m \leq |Y_C^+|$. This means that each cell corresponding to $(0, \cdots, 0, m_{d+1}, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfies $-|Y_C^-|+1 = -|X_C^-|+1 \leq m_i \leq |X_C^+|-1 = |Y_C^+|$.

(**The third step**): We then consider a cycle $C = (p_{k_1}, \cdots, p_{k_m})$ in $\widehat{P}$ with $|Z_C^+ \cup Z_C^-| \geq 2$, and hence a cycle C is different from the one in the second step. Since $\sum_{f \in Y_C^+ \cup Z_C^+} \sigma_f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{f' \in Y_C^- \cup Z_C^-} \sigma_{f'}(\mathbf{x})$, one has $\sum_{f \in Z_C^+} \sigma_f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{f' \in Z_C^-} \sigma_{f'}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{f' \in Y_C^-} \sigma_{f'}(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{f \in Y_C^+} \sigma_f(\mathbf{x})$. Similar to the discussion in the second step, we have

$$-|Y_C^-| < \sum_{f \in Z_C^+} \sigma_f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{f' \in Z_C^-} \sigma_{f'}(\mathbf{x}) < |Y_C^+|.$$

On the other hand, it follows from the second step that we have

$$\sum_{e_\ell \in Z_C^+} m_\ell - \sum_{e_{\ell'} \in Z_C^-} m_{\ell'} - |Z_C^+| < \sum_{f \in Z_C^+} \sigma_f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{f' \in Z_C^-} \sigma_{f'}(\mathbf{x}) < \sum_{e_\ell \in Z_C^+} m_\ell - \sum_{e_{\ell'} \in Z_C^-} m_{\ell'} + |Z_C^-|.$$

Hence, by these two inequalites, we obtain that

$$-|Y_C^-| - |Z_C^-| < \sum_{e_\ell \in Z_C^+} m_\ell - \sum_{e_{\ell'} \in Z_C^-} m_{\ell'} < |Y_C^+| + |Z_C^+|,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-|X_C^-| + 1 \leq \sum_{e_\ell \in Z_C^+} m_\ell - \sum_{e_{\ell'} \in Z_C^-} m_{\ell'} \leq |X_C^+| - 1.$$

This means that each cell $(0, \cdots, 0, m_{d+1}, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfies this inequality.

(**The fourth step**): We will prove that each inequality for a cycle that is not a circuit always comes from the ones for circuits. This means that we may only consider the inequalites obtained in the second and third steps for circuits. Let $C = (p_{k_1}, \ldots, p_{k_m})$ be a cycle in $\widehat{P}$ that is not a circuit. Thus, there exists an edge $\{p_{k_a}, p_{k_b}\}$ of $\widehat{P}$ such that $1 \leq a < b \leq m$ with $b - a > 1$ and $(a,b) \neq (1,m)$. Assume $p_{k_a} \prec p_{k_b}$. Let $C_1 = (p_{k_1}, \ldots, p_{k_a}, p_{k_b}, \ldots, p_{k_m})$ and $C_2 = (p_{k_a}, p_{k_{a+1}}, \ldots, p_{k_b})$. Then C_1 and C_2 are cycles and we see that $\{p_{k_a}, p_{k_b}\} \in X_{C_1}^+ \cap X_{C_2}^-$, $X_C^+ = X_{C_1}^+ \cup X_{C_2}^+ \setminus \{\{p_{k_a}, p_{k_b}\}\}$ and $X_C^- = X_{C_1}^- \cup X_{C_2}^- \setminus \{\{p_{k_a}, p_{k_b}\}\}$. Thus, $|X_C^+| = |X_{C_1}^+| + |X_{C_2}^+| - 1$ and $|X_C^-| = |X_{C_1}^-| + |X_{C_2}^-| - 1$. Hence, by summing up two inequalities

$$-|X_{C_1}^-| + 1 \leq \sum_{e_{d+\ell} \in Z_{C_1}^+} z_\ell - \sum_{e_{d+\ell'} \in Z_{C_1}^-} z_{\ell'} \leq |X_{C_1}^+| - 1 \text{ and}$$

$$-|X_{C_2}^-| + 1 \leq \sum_{e_{d+\ell} \in Z_{C_2}^+} z_\ell - \sum_{e_{d+\ell'} \in Z_{C_2}^-} z_{\ell'} \leq |X_{C_2}^+| - 1,$$

we obtain the inequality for C.

(**The fifth step**): Therefore, from the second and the third steps together with the fourth step, we see that if each $(0, \cdots, 0, m_{d+1}, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ defines a full-dimensional cell, then $(z_1, \cdots, z_{n-d})$ satisfies

the conditions described in (2.4) as required, where we identify each $(0, \cdots, 0, m_{d+1}, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $(z_1, \cdots, z_{n-d}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ by $m_{d+j} = z_j$.

Next, we show the converse, that is, we show that a divisorial ideal $T(m_1, \cdots, m_n)$ contained in $\mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$ as the element of $\mathrm{Cl}(R)$ actually determines a conic one. In order to achieve this, we identify $T(m_1, \cdots, m_n)$ with the divisor $-\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \mathcal{D}_i$, and show that $-m_i \in (-1, 0]$ for any $i = 1, \cdots, n$ when we consider $-\sum m_i \mathcal{D}_i$ as the element of $\mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see Lemma 2.1). Let $\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{m}_i \mathcal{D}_i$ be the divisor in $\mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}}$ corresponding to $-\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \mathcal{D}_i$. Thus, $m_i + \overline{m}_i = \sigma_i(\mathbf{x})$ holds for any $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Using the unimodular transformation ϕ discussed in the beginning of this proof, we may assume that $\sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, d$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_d)$. Thus, if we take $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $m_i = \ulcorner x_i \urcorner$, then $x_i - m_i = \overline{m}_i \in (-1, 0]$ holds for all $i = 1, \cdots, d$. Since conic divisorial ideals are determined by elements in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathsf{M}$, we may assume $x_i = \overline{m}_i$. In the following, we use this $\mathbf{x} \in (-1, 0]^d$, especially $-1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ holds for all $i = 1, \cdots, d$.

For any $e_j \in \{e_{d+1}, \cdots, e_n\}$, by the same argument as in the second step, we see that $m_j - 1 < \sigma_{e_j}(\mathbf{x}) \leq m_j$ if and only if

$$-|X_{C_j}^-| + 1 \leq m_j \leq |X_{C_j}^+| - 1 \tag{2.5}$$

for a certain cycle C_j. Since we are considering m_j's satisfying the condition (2.4) for all circuits, the condition (2.5) holds. (Recall that the inequality arising from a cycle C is obtained by combining the ones arising from circuits as we noted in the fourth step.) Thus, we have that $m_j - 1 < \sigma_{e_j}(\mathbf{x}) \leq m_j$, and hence $\overline{m}_j \in (-1, 0]$ holds. □

For the convenience, we describe the full-dimensional cell corresponding to $(m_1, \cdots, m_{n-d}) \in \mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$. We set the region consisting of those $(y_1, \cdots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the following inequalities:

- $-1 < y_i \leq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$;
- $m_j - 1 < \sum_{e_{\ell'} \in Y_C^-} y_{\ell'} - \sum_{e_\ell \in Y_C^+} y_\ell \leq m_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-d$, where $C = (p_{k_1}, \cdots, p_{k_m})$ is a unique cycle in $\widehat{P}$ with $\{p_{k_m}, p_{k_1}\} = e_{d+j}$, $p_{k_m} \prec p_{k_1}$ and $Z_C^+ \cup Z_C^- = \{e_{d+j}\}$.

Then by the final part of the proof of Theorem 2.4, this is the corresponding full-dimensional cell.

Example 2.6. Let $t \geq 2$. Given positive integers $r_1, \cdots, r_t$, we consider a disjoint union of chains, i.e., a poset $P = \{p_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq r_i\}$ equipped with the partial order $p_{i,1} \prec \cdots \prec p_{i,r_i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$ (see Figure 2). Let $e_{i,j} = \{p_{i,j-1}, p_{i,j}\}$ be the edge of $\widehat{P}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_i + 1$, where $p_{i,0}$ (resp. p_{i,r_i+1}) denotes $\hat{0}$ (resp. $\hat{1}$) for every i. Then, we see that the Hibi ring arising from P is isomorphic to the Segre product of polynomial rings $\#_{i=1}^k \Bbbk[x_{i,1}, \cdots, x_{i,r_i+1}]$. In addition, the class group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{t-1}$ which is generated by divisors $\mathcal{D}_{e_{1,1}}, \cdots, \mathcal{D}_{e_{t-1,1}}$.



FIGURE 2.

The set of the edges

$$\{e_{i,j} \mid 1 \le i \le t, 2 \le j \le r_i + 1\} \cup \{e_{t,1}\}$$

described by red line in Figure 2 is a spanning tree of $\widehat{P}$. Fix this spanning tree. Then, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}(P) = \{(z_1, \cdots, z_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{t-1} \mid & -r_t \le z_i \le r_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le t-1, \\ & -r_j \le z_i - z_j \le r_i \text{ for } 1 \le i < j \le t-1\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In fact, since every cycle of $\widehat{P}$ is of the form $C_{k,\ell} = (p_{k,1}, p_{k,2}, \cdots, p_{k,r_k+1}, p_{\ell,r_\ell}, \cdots, p_{\ell,1}, p_{\ell,0})$, where $1 \le k < \ell \le t$, one has

$$Z^+_{C_{k,\ell}} = \{e_{k,1}\}, \quad Z^-_{C_{k,\ell}} = \begin{cases}\{e_{\ell,1}\}, & \text{if } \ell \le t-1, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \ell = t\end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$$|X^+_{C_{k,\ell}}| = r_k + 1 \text{ and } |X^-_{C_{k,\ell}}| = r_\ell + 1 \text{ for } 1 \le k < \ell \le t.$$

Hence, we obtain the inequalites given in (2.6).

Moreover, from the above description, the full-dimensional cell in $\mathbb{R}^{\sum_{i=1}^t r_i + 1}$ corresponding to each point $(m_1, \cdots, m_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{t-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\{(y', y_{i,j})_{1 \le i \le t, 1 \le j \le r_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{i=1}^t r_i + 1} \mid & -1 < y' \le 0, \ -1 < y_{i,j} \le 0, \\ & m_i - 1 < y' + \sum_{j=1}^{r_t} y_{t,j} - \sum_{j'=1}^{r_i} y_{i,j'} \le m_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le t-1\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

3. Non-commutative crepant resolutions of Segre products of polynomial rings

In this section, we assume that $\Bbbk$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. First, we recall the definition of non-commutative (crepant) resolutions [VdB2, DITV].

Definition 3.1. Let A be a CM ring, and M be a non-zero reflexive A-module. Let $E \coloneqq \operatorname{End}_A(M)$.

(1) We say that E is a *non-commutative resolution* (= *NCR*) of A or M *gives an NCR* of A if $\operatorname{gl.dim} E < \infty$.
(2) We say that E is a *non-commutative crepant resolution* (= *NCCR*) of A or M *gives an NCCR* of A if $\operatorname{gl.dim} E_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ and E is an MCM A-module.

In addition, we say that an NC(C)R $E = \operatorname{End}_A(M)$ is *splitting* if M is a finite direct sum of rank one reflexive A-modules after [IN].

Remark 3.2. (1) When A is a Gorenstein normal domain, we can relax Definition 3.1(2). That is, E is an NCCR of A if and only if $\operatorname{gl.dim} E < \infty$ and E is an MCM A-module (see [IW, Lemma 2.23]).
(2) A splitting NCCR is also called "toric" NCCR when A is a toric ring (see [Boc]).

The existence of NC(C)Rs and properties of rings admitting NC(C)Rs have been investigated several papers. Here, we only mention results regarding NC(C)Rs of toric rings. A toric ring defined by a simplicial cone is considered as a quotient singularity associated with a finite abelian group, in which case an NCCR is given by the skew group algebra (see e.g., [VdB2, IW]), and this is equivalent to the condition that a given ring admits a "steady" splitting NC(C)R [IN]. For a 3-dimensional Gorenstein toric ring, an NCCRs can be obtained from consistent dimer models (see e.g., [Bro, IU]). As we saw in Theorem 1.2(2), Špenko and Van den Bergh showed that any toric ring admits an NCR, which is given by conic divisorial ideals. Thus, we immediately have the following.

Corollary 3.3. *Let R be a Hibi ring. Let $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ be a direct sum of all conic divisorial ideals, that is, $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a direct sum of divisorial ideals satisfying the condition given in Theorem 2.4. Then,* $\operatorname{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{C}})$ *is an NCR of R.*

We note that $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ rarely gives an NCCR, because it is too big to allow $\operatorname{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{C}})$ to be an MCM R-module. Špenko and Van den Bergh gave NCCRs of a Gorenstein toric ring R by considering the direct sum of a part of conic divisorial ideals under the assumption that R is given via a "quasi-symmetric" representation (see [ŠpVdB1, Theorem 1.6.2]). Also, they showed the existence of NCCRs for some toric rings from another point of view [ŠpVdB2].

Our result in the previous section enables us to construct NCCRs for some Hibi rings, even if those do not satisfy the quasi-symmetric condition. Let R be a Hibi ring whose class group is $\mathrm{Cl}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$. In what follows, we observe NCCRs using methods in [ŠpVdB1] heavily. To clarify the difference between our settings and those in [ŠpVdB1], we rewrite R as the ring of invariants under the action of $G \coloneqq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Cl}(R), \Bbbk^{\times}) \cong (\Bbbk^{\times})^{n-d}$ on S, where $S \coloneqq \Bbbk[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ that gives the embedding $R \hookrightarrow S$ via σ in (2.1). Let $\mathrm{X}(G)$ be the character group of G. Especially, we see that $\mathrm{Cl}(R) \cong \mathrm{X}(G)$. (Using this identification, we will use the same symbol for both of a character and the corresponding weight.) When we consider the prime divisor $\mathcal{D}_i$ on $\operatorname{Spec} R$ as the element in $\mathrm{X}(G)$ via the surjection in (2.1), we denote it by β_i. Let V_χ be the irreducible representation corresponding to a character $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$, and let $W = \oplus_i V_{\beta_i}$ (we sometimes identify χ with V_χ). Then, the symmetric algebra $S(W)$ of the G-representation W is isomorphic to S, and this induces the action of the algebraic torus G on S. That is, $g \in G$ acts on x_i as $g \cdot x_i = \beta_i(g) x_i$. This action is generic (see [ŠpVdB1, Definition 1.3.4]), and gives the $\mathrm{Cl}(R)$-grading on S, and the degree zero part coincides with the G-invariant components. Especially, we have that $R = S^G$ (see e.g., [BG1, Theorem 2.1]). Also, we say that W is *quasi-symmetric* if for every line $\ell \subset \mathrm{X}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$ factoring through the origin, we have $\sum_{\beta_i \in \ell} \beta_i = 0$. If W is quasi-symmetric, then $R = S^G$ is Gorenstein.

We then rewrite conic divisorial ideals in terms of modules of covariants (cf. [ŠpVdB1, Section 11.6]). For a character $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$, we call an R-module with the form $M_\chi \coloneqq (S \otimes_{\Bbbk} V_\chi)^G$ *module of covariants*, which is generated by $f \in S$ with $g \cdot f = \chi(g) f$ for any $g \in G$. Especially, for $\chi = \sum_i a_i \mathcal{D}_i \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ we see that $T(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = M_{-\chi}$ (we sometimes denote $T(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ by $T(\chi)$). As we mentioned in Lemma 2.1, a conic divisorial ideal corresponds to a divisor $\sum_i \delta_i \mathcal{D}_i$ with $(\delta_i)_i \in (-1, 0]^n$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(R)_{\mathbb{R}}$, and this corresponds to the character $\sum_i \delta_i \beta_i \in \mathrm{X}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We say that a character $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ is *strongly critical* with respect to $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n$ if $\chi = \sum_i a_i \beta_i$ satisfies $a_i \in (-1, 0]$ for all i in $\mathrm{X}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, we see that a conic divisorial ideal is precisely a module of covariants associated with a strongly critical character.

Following [ŠpVdB1, Section 11], we also introduce several notations. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathsf{mod}(G, S)$ be the category of finitely generated (G, S)-module (i.e., G-equivariant S-modules). We define the (G, S)-module $P_\chi \coloneqq V_\chi \otimes_k S$. Any projective generator of $\mathcal{A}$ is given by P_χ with $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$. For a finite subset $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathrm{X}(G)$, we set

$$P_{\mathcal{L}} \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{L}} P_\chi \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}} \coloneqq \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\mathcal{L}}).$$

In addition, for $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ we set $P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} \coloneqq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\mathcal{L}}, P_\chi)$. If $\chi \in \mathcal{L}$, we see that $P_{\mathcal{L},\chi}$ is a right projective $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$-module by projectivization [ARS, II. 2.1]. Since the functor $(-)^G : \mathsf{ref}(G, S) \to \mathsf{ref}(R)$ gives equivalence (see e.g., [ŠpVdB1, Lemma 4.1.3], [Has, Section 11]), we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\chi_i}, P_{\chi_j}) \cong (\mathrm{Hom}_k(V_{\chi_i}, V_{\chi_j}) \otimes_k S)^G \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(P_{\chi_i}^G, P_{\chi_j}^G) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{\chi_i}, M_{\chi_j}).$$

Thus, to consider the global dimension of $\mathrm{End}_R(P_{\mathcal{L}}^G)$, we may only discuss that of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$. The following two lemmas are crucial to check the finiteness of the global dimension.

Lemma 3.4 (see [ŠpVdB1, Lemma 11.1.1])**.** *One has that* $\operatorname{gl.dim} \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ *if and only if* $\operatorname{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ *for all* $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$.

Let $\mathrm{Y}(G)$ be the group of one-parameter subgroups of G, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n-d}$. We say that $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ is *separated* from $\mathcal{L}$ by $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$ if $\langle \lambda, \chi \rangle < \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$.

Let K_λ be the subspace of W spanned by representations corresponding to β_{i_j} with $\langle \lambda, \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$, and let $d_\lambda \coloneqq \dim_{\Bbbk} K_\lambda$. Consider the Koszul resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow \wedge^{d_\lambda} K_\lambda \otimes_{\Bbbk} S \longrightarrow \wedge^{d_\lambda - 1} K_\lambda \otimes_{\Bbbk} S \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S(W/K_\lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying $(\chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} -) = (V_\chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} -)$ to this, we have the exact sequence $C_{\lambda,\chi}$:

$$0 \longrightarrow (\chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} \wedge^{d_\lambda} K_\lambda) \otimes_{\Bbbk} S \xrightarrow{\delta_{d_\lambda}} (\chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} \wedge^{d_\lambda - 1} K_\lambda) \otimes_{\Bbbk} S \xrightarrow{\delta_{d_\lambda - 1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} S \longrightarrow \chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} S(W/K_\lambda) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.1)$$

We note that for $p = 1, \cdots, d_\lambda$ the (G, S)-module $(\chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} \wedge^p K_\lambda) \otimes_{\Bbbk} S$ is decomposed as the direct sum of (G, S)-modules P_μ of the form $\mu = \chi + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_p}$ where $\{i_1, \cdots, i_p\} \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$, $i_j \neq i_{j'}$ if $j \neq j'$, and $\langle \lambda, \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$. Let $C_{\mathcal{L},\lambda,\chi}$ be the complex obtained by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\mathcal{L}}, -)$ to $C_{\lambda,\chi}$. Then the following lemma holds. We remark that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\mathcal{L}}, \chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} S(W/K_\lambda)) = 0$ in this situation, and these kinds of arguments also hold for any toric rings which are not necessarily Hibi rings.

Lemma 3.5 (see [ŠpVdB1, Lemma 11.2.1]). *Suppose that $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ is separated from $\mathcal{L}$ by $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then, the complex $C_{\mathcal{L},\lambda,\chi}$ is acyclic. In addition, the 0-th term of $C_{\mathcal{L},\lambda,\chi}$ is $P_{\mathcal{L},\chi}$ and for $p = 1, \cdots, d_\lambda$ the $-p$-th term is the direct sum of $P_{\mathcal{L},\mu}$ of the form*

$$\mu = \chi + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_p}$$

where $\{i_1, \cdots, i_p\} \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$, $i_j \neq i_{j'}$ if $j \neq j'$, and $\langle \lambda, \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$.

3.1. **Construction of NCCRs for Segre products of polynomial rings.** In this subsection, we observe an NCCR for the Segre products of polynomial rings, which are realized as Hibi rings. First, we remark that a ring admitting an NCCR is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein (see [DITW, Theorem 1.1]). Since the class group of a Hibi ring is a free abelian group, a Hibi ring admitting an NCCR is Gorenstein. We recall that Gorenstein Hibi rings arise from pure posets ([Hibi]). For the case of the Segre product of polynomial rings, we see that it is Gorenstein if and only if polynomial rings have the same variables.

Let $R = S_1 \# S_2 \# \cdots \# S_t$ be the Segre product of r-dimensional polynomial rings, where $r \geq 2$. This is realized as the Hibi ring associated with the pure poset shown in Figure 3, and we have that $\mathrm{Cl}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{t-1}$ (see Example 2.6). Let $G = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Cl}(R), \Bbbk^\times)$. Then, we see that $R = S^G$ where $S = S(W)$ is a polynomial ring with tr variables arising from the representation $W = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{tr} V_{\beta_s}$. Here, $\beta_s \in \mathrm{X}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{t-1}$ is the character corresponding to a prime divisor $\mathcal{D}_s$ on $\operatorname{Spec} R$. We see that by the relations (2.3) each β_j takes the form $\bar{\beta}_i = (0, \cdots, 0, \overset{i}{\check{1}}, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{t-1}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, t-1$ or $\bar{\beta}_t = (-1, \cdots, -1)$, and each of these has the multiplicity r.

We easily see that W is quasi-symmetric if and only if $t = 2$, in which case covered by [ŠpVdB1], and also studied in [Kuz] using tilting bundles. We can obtain NCCRs for other Segre products of polynomial rings combining Theorem 2.4 and arguments in [ŠpVdB1].



FIGURE 3.

We see that by Theorem 2.4 (see also Example 2.6) conic divisorial ideals of R are represented

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}(R) = \{(c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathrm{Cl}(R) \mid &-(r-1) \leq c_i \leq r-1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t-1, \\ &-(r-1) \leq c_i - c_j \leq r-1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq t-1\}\end{aligned}$$

as elements in $\mathrm{Cl}(R) \cong \mathrm{X}(G)$. We then set

$$\mathcal{L} \coloneqq \{c = (c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{C}(R) \mid 0 \leq c_i \leq r-1 \ \text{ for any } \ i = 1, \cdots, t-1\}, \tag{3.2}$$

and this finite set gives an NCCR of R as follows.

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be the Segre product of r-dimensional polynomial rings as above. Let*

$$M_{\mathcal{L}} \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{L}} M_\chi = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{L}} T(-\chi),$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite set (3.2)*. Then, $\mathrm{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}})$ is an NCCR of R. (Remark that this NCCR is splitting and the number of non-isomorphic direct summands of $M_{\mathcal{L}}$ is r^{t-1}.)*

Proof. We set

$$\Sigma \coloneqq \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{tr} a_s \beta_s \mid a_s \in (-1, 0] \right\} \subset \mathrm{X}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

That is, an element in Σ is a strongly critical character. Thus, identifying elements in Σ with certain elements in $\mathrm{X}(G)$, we see that $\mathrm{X}(G) \cap \Sigma$ coincides with $\mathcal{C}(R)$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \coloneqq \{c = (c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{C}(R) \mid -(r-1) \leq c_i \leq r-1 \ \text{ for any } \ i = 1, \cdots, t-1\}.$$

We note that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{C}(R) = \mathrm{X}(G) \cap \Sigma \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Furthermore, we set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j \coloneqq \{c = (c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \mid c_{j+1} \geq 0, \cdots, c_{t-1} \geq 0\}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq t-1$. Note that $\mathcal{L} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t-1} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. For $1 \leq k \leq r-1$, let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(k) \coloneqq \{c = (c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j \mid c_j \geq -k\}.$$

In the following, we show the finiteness of the global dimension of $\mathrm{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}})$. To show this, we may only consider $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{L}} P_\chi)$, and check that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ for any $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ by Lemma 3.4. We first show that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ for any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. We prove this assertion by the induction on j and k. (We remark that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t-1}(r-1)$.)

For any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{j-1}$, let $\lambda = (0, \cdots, 0, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \cdots, 0)$. Then, we can see that

$$\langle \lambda, \chi \rangle < 0 \leq \langle \lambda, \chi' \rangle \ \text{ for any } \chi' \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Hence, χ is separated from $\mathcal{L}$ by λ, and we have that $\langle \lambda, \bar{\beta}_j \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \lambda, \bar{\beta}_i \rangle \leq 0$ for any $i \neq j$.

(**The case** $j = 1$): For any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1(1) \setminus \mathcal{L}$, we see that $\chi + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_p} \in \mathcal{L}$, where $\beta_{i_1} = \cdots = \beta_{i_p} = \bar{\beta}_1$ and $1 \leq p \leq r$. Hence, by Lemma 3.5 we have that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$. We then assume that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ for any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1(k)$. Then, for any $\chi' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1(k+1) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1(k)$, we see that $\chi' + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_p} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1(k)$. Hence, $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi'} < \infty$ by Lemma 3.5. Therefore, $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ for any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$.

(**The case** $j > 1$): We assume that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ for any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{j-1}$ with $j \geq 2$. For any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(1) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{j-1}$, we see that $\chi + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_p} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{j-1}$, where $\beta_{i_1} = \cdots = \beta_{i_p} = \bar{\beta}_j$ and $1 \leq p \leq r$. Hence, by Lemma 3.5 we have that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$. We then assume that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ for any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(k)$. Then, for any $\chi' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(k+1) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(k)$, we see that $\chi' + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_p} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1(k)$. Hence, $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi'} < \infty$ by Lemma 3.5. Therefore, $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ for any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_j$.

Consequently, we obtain that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} < \infty$ for any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t-1}(r-1) = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, and so is for any $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G) \cap \Sigma$ in particular. If we assume that $\mathrm{gl.dim}\, \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}} = \infty$, then by Lemma 3.4 there exists $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ such that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} P_{\mathcal{L},\chi} = \infty$, and this χ should be in $\mathrm{X}(G) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathrm{X}(G) \setminus \mathrm{X}(G) \cap \Sigma$ by the above observation. Using the same argument as in [ŠpVdB1, subsection 11.3], we can conclude that this is a contradiction.

In order to show that $\mathrm{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}})$ is an NCCR, we have to show that $\mathrm{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}})$ is an MCM R-module (see Remark 3.2). This follows from Lemma 3.7 and 3.8 below. □

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.6, we prove the following Lemmas.

Lemma 3.7. *For any $\chi, \chi' \in \mathcal{L}$, we have that $\chi - \chi' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$.*

Proof. For $a > 0$, it is enough to show that $\{\alpha - \alpha' \mid \alpha, \alpha' \in [0, a]^d\} \subset [-a, a]^d$ and this is obvious. □

Before moving to another lemma, we note that using $\bar{\beta}_1, \cdots, \bar{\beta}_t$ each character $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ is described as $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^t c_i \bar{\beta}_i$. It is known that a module of covariants M_χ is a rank one MCM R-module if and only if for any permutation $i_1, \cdots, i_t$ of $1, \cdots, t$, $0 \leq c_{i_{j+1}} - c_{i_j} \leq r-1$ holds for $1 \leq j \leq t-1$ (see [Bru, Section 2]). Here, $(c_1, \cdots, c_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t$ can be identified with $(c_1 - c_t, \cdots, c_{t-1} - c_t) \in \mathrm{Cl}(R)$ via the relation $\bar{\beta}_t = -(\bar{\beta}_1 + \cdots + \bar{\beta}_{t-1})$.

Lemma 3.8. *For any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we see that M_χ is a rank one MCM R-module.*

Proof. We may write $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{t} c_i\bar{\beta}_i$ as $\chi = (x_1, \cdots, x_{t-1})$ with $x_i - x_j = c_i - c_j$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t-1$ and $\pm x_i = \pm(c_i - c_j)$. For any $\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we have that $-(r-1) \leq x_i \leq r-1$ for all i, and there exist a certain permutation $i_1, \cdots, i_{t-1}$ of $1, \cdots, t-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq t-1$ such that

$$-(r-1) \leq x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{i_\ell} \leq 0 \leq x_{i_{\ell+1}} \leq \cdots \leq x_{i_{t-1}} \leq r-1.$$

Consider the sequence $i_1, \cdots, i_\ell, t, i_{\ell+1}, \cdots, i_{t-1}$ of $1, \cdots, t$.

- For each $1 \leq j \leq \ell-1$, since $0 \leq x_{i_{j+1}} - x_{i_j} \leq -x_{i_j} \leq r-1$ and $x_{i_{j+1}} - x_{i_j} = c_{i_{j+1}} - c_{i_j}$, we have $0 \leq c_{i_{j+1}} - c_{i_j} \leq r-1$.
- For each $\ell+1 \leq j \leq t-1$, since $0 \leq x_{i_{j+1}} - x_{i_j} \leq x_{i_{j+1}} \leq r-1$ and $x_{i_{j+1}} - x_{i_j} = c_{i_{j+1}} - c_{i_j}$, we have $0 \leq c_{i_{j+1}} - c_{i_j} \leq r-1$.
- We see that $0 \leq -x_{i_\ell} = c_t - c_{i_\ell} \leq r-1$ and $0 \leq x_{i_{\ell+1}} = c_{i_{\ell+1}} - c_t \leq r-1$.

Therefore, $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{t} c_i\bar{\beta}_i$ satisfies the condition that $(c_1, \cdots, c_t)$ corresponds to a rank one MCM R-module. □

In this manner, we can obtain an NCCR $\mathrm{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}})$ of Segre products of polynomial rings. Using this module $M_{\mathcal{L}}$, we also obtain other modules giving NCCRs as follows. In the next section, we will give essentially different NCCRs using "mutation".

Corollary 3.9. *Let the notation be the same as Theorem 3.6. For each divisorial ideal I of R, $(M_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes_R I)^{**}$ gives an NCCR of R.*

Proof. This follows from the fact $\mathrm{End}_R((M_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes_R I)^{**}) \cong \mathrm{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}})$. □

Example 3.10. Suppose that R is the Segre product of polynomial rings with $r = 3, t = 3$, in which case we have that $\mathrm{Cl}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. In the following figure, each dot represents a divisorial ideal that is an MCM R-module, and the ones contained in the gray region correspond to conic classes in particular. Furthermore, the red ones correspond to elements in $\mathcal{L}$, especially the red $\times$ represents the origin $(0, 0)$. Therefore, these gives an NCCR of R.



4. Mutations of NCCRs for toric rings

In the previous section, we construct an NCCR of the Segre product of polynomial rings $R = S_1 \# S_2 \# \cdots \# S_t$. In this section, we introduce the operation called *mutation*, and we can obtain another module giving NCCRs from a given one via this operation. Especially, we will define the mutation for $(\mathcal{L}, \chi, \lambda)$ where $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathrm{X}(G)$ is a finite set giving an NCCR, and $\chi \in \mathcal{L}$ is a character separated from $\mathcal{L}\backslash\{\chi\}$ by a certain one parameter subgroup λ. Although, our main interest lies in the Segre product of polynomial rings, the following argument is valid for any complete local Gorenstein toric ring admitting NCCRs. Thus, R denotes such a toric ring in the rest of this section. We remark that Krull-Schmidt theorem holds in our situation.

We first consider an R-module $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ where $I = \{1, \cdots, n\}$. We assume that M is basic, that is, M_i's are mutually non-isomorphic. Following [IW, Section 6], we define the mutation of M at $i \in I$. For each $i \in I$, we set $M_{I\backslash\{i\}} = \bigoplus_{j \in I\backslash\{i\}} M_j$. We say that a morphism $\varphi : N \to M_i$ is a *right* $(\mathsf{add}_R M_{I\backslash\{i\}})$*-approximation* of M_i if $N \in \mathsf{add}_R M_{I\backslash\{i\}}$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{I\backslash\{i\}}, N) \xrightarrow{\varphi\cdot} \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{I\backslash\{i\}}, M_i)$$

is surjective. In addition, we say that φ is *minimal* if $\phi \in \mathrm{End}_R(N)$ satisfies $\varphi \cdot \phi = \varphi$, then ϕ is an automorphism, equivalently if non-zero direct summands of N are not mapped to zero via φ. Since $(\mathsf{add}_R M_{I\backslash\{i\}})$ is contravariantly finite and R is complete, a minimal right $(\mathsf{add}_R M_{I\backslash\{i\}})$-approximation φ exists and is unique up to isomorphism. We then define the *right mutation* μ_i^+ of M at i as

$$\mu_i^+(M) \coloneqq M_{I\backslash\{i\}} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi.$$

Also, we define the *left mutation* μ_i^- of M at $i \in I$ as $\mu_i^-(M) \coloneqq (\mu_i^+(M^*))^*$ where $(-)^*$ is the R-dual. These are mutually inverse operations, that is, we have $\mu_i^-(\mu_i^+(M)) = M$ and $\mu_i^+(\mu_i^-(M)) = M$ (see [IW, Proposition 6.5]). By [IW, Theorem 6.10], if M gives an NCCR of R, then so do $\mu_i^+(M)$ and $\mu_i^-(M)$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{End}_R(M)$, $\mathrm{End}_R(\mu_i^+(M))$ and $\mathrm{End}_R(\mu_i^-(M))$ are all derived equivalent (see [IW, Theorem 6.8]).

Then, we apply the above mutation to the R-module $M_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathcal{L}} M_\eta$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite subset of $\mathrm{X}(G)$ which is not necessarily equal to the one used in Theorem 3.6. Especially, by combining several mutations, we introduce the mutation of $M_{\mathcal{L}}$ at $\chi \in \mathcal{L}$ with respect to a certain one parameter subgroup $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$. To define this, the acyclic complex appearing in Lemma 3.5 is the main ingredient. We first set $P_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} = \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathcal{L}\backslash\{\chi\}} P_\eta$, and consider the complex $C_{\lambda,\chi}$ given in (3.1). Let $C_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\lambda,\chi}$ be the complex obtained by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi}, -)$ to $C_{\lambda,\chi}$.

Here, we consider a one parameter subgroup $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(Y1) λ separates $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ from a finite set $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathrm{X}(G)$.
(Y2) For all $p = 1, \cdots, d_{\lambda-1}$, one has $\chi + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_p} \in \mathcal{M}$ where $\{i_1, \cdots, i_p\} \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$, $i_j \neq i_{j'}$ if $j \neq j'$, and $\langle \lambda, \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$.

Let $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{M},\chi}$ be the set of one parameter subgroups satisfying the above conditions (Y1) and (Y2). We remark that sometimes such a one parameter subgroup $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{M},\chi}$ does not exist for a given χ. Using these, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a complete local Gorenstein toric ring and $M_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathcal{L}} M_\eta$ be a module giving a splitting NCCR of R. We pick a character $\chi \in \mathcal{L}$. If there exists a one parameter subgroup $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\chi}$, then $M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} \oplus M_\nu$ also gives a splitting NCCR of R where*

$$\nu = \chi + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_{d_\lambda}}$$

with $i_j \neq i_{j'}$ if $j \neq j'$, and $\langle \lambda, \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, d_\lambda$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathrm{End}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} \oplus M_\nu)$ are derived equivalent.

Proof. Consider $C_{\lambda,\chi}$ given in (3.1). If $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\chi}$, then we see that $C_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\lambda,\chi}$ is acyclic by the condition (Y1) and Lemma 3.5. (Remark that the following arguments do not depend on a choice of $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\chi}$ by Lemma 4.3 shown below.) Thus, we see that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi}, \delta_1)$ is surjective, and $(\chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} K_\lambda) \otimes_{\Bbbk} S \in P_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi}$ by the condition (Y2). Applying the functor $(-)^G$, we can see that δ_1^G is a right $\mathsf{add}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi})$-approximation of M_χ, and also see that this is minimal. Therefore, $M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_1^G$ is the right mutation of $M_{\mathcal{L}}$ at M_χ. We remark that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_1^G$ is not a module of covariants in general. Similarly, using the acyclicness of $C_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\lambda,\chi}$ and the condition (Y2), we also have that δ_2^G is a right minimal $\mathsf{add}_R(M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi})$-approximation of $\mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_1^G$, and hence $M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_2^G$ is the right mutation of $M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_1^G$ at $\mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_1^G$. Repeating these processes, we finally arrive at

$$M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_{d_\lambda}^G = M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} \oplus M_\nu,$$

and this also gives an NCCR by the property of the mutation mentioned above. Furthermore, this is splitting because $\dim_{\Bbbk}(\chi \otimes_{\Bbbk} \wedge^{d_\lambda} K_\lambda) = 1$. The derived equivalence follows from [IW, Theorem 6.8]. □

We denote $\mu^+_{\chi,\lambda}(M_{\mathcal{L}}) \coloneqq M_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi} \oplus M_\nu$, and call this the *right mutation* of $M_{\mathcal{L}}$ at χ with respect to $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\chi}$. We also define the *left mutation* as $\mu^-_{\chi,\lambda}(M_{\mathcal{L}}) = (\mu^+_{-\chi,-\lambda}(M^*_{\mathcal{L}}))^*$.

Remark 4.2. We remark that the mutations $\mu^\pm_{\chi,\lambda}$ established by combining several mutations as shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Especially, if $d_\lambda > 2$, then we have non-splitting NCCRs in the process of obtaining $\mu^\pm_{\chi,\lambda}(M_{\mathcal{L}})$ from $M_{\mathcal{L}}$. This situation is quite different from the observation shown in [Nak].

The next lemma asserts that these mutations do not depend on a choice of $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\chi}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let the notation be the same as above. For $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\chi}$, we see that $\langle \lambda, \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$ if and only if $\langle \lambda', \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that β_{i_j} satisfies $\langle \lambda, \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$. Using the condition (Y2) for λ, we have that $\chi + \beta_{i_j} \in \mathcal{L}\backslash\chi$. Since λ' separates χ from $\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi$, we have that $\langle \lambda', \chi \rangle < \langle \lambda', \chi + \beta_{i_j} \rangle$, and hence $\langle \lambda', \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$ holds. The converse is similar. □

We note that $\mu^+_{\chi,\lambda} \neq \mu^-_{\chi,\lambda}$ in general. We also remark that even if $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi,\chi}$, there is a case where $-\lambda$ is not in $\mathrm{Y}_{-(\mathcal{L}\backslash\chi),-\chi}$ (the condition (Y2) does not hold in general), thus we can not define $\mu^-_{\chi,\lambda}(M_{\mathcal{L}})$ in such a situation. Also, we encounter the vice versa situation. If we can define these, then these are mutually inverse operations in the following sense.

Proposition 4.4. *Let the notation be the same as above.*

(a) *For a character $\chi \in \mathcal{L}$, we assume that there exists a one parameter subgroup $\lambda_1 \in Y_{\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi,\chi}$. We consider the character ν of the form:*

$$\nu = \chi + \beta_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta_{i_d}$$

with $i_j \neq i_{j'}$ if $j \neq j'$, and $\langle \lambda_1, \beta_{i_j} \rangle > 0$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, d$ where $d \coloneqq d_{\lambda_1}$. If there exists a one parameter subgroup $-\lambda_2 \in Y_{-(\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi),-\nu}$, then we have

$$\mu^-_{\nu,\lambda_2}(\mu^+_{\chi,\lambda_1}(M_{\mathcal{L}})) = M_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

(b) *For a character $\chi \in \mathcal{L}$, we assume that there exists a one parameter subgroup $-\lambda_1 \in Y_{-(\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi),-\chi}$. We consider the character ν' of the form:*

$$\nu' = -\chi + \beta'_{i_1} + \cdots + \beta'_{i_{d'}}$$

with $i_j \neq i_{j'}$ if $j \neq j'$, and $\langle -\lambda_1, \beta'_{i_j} \rangle > 0$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, d'$ where $d' \coloneqq d_{-\lambda_1}$. If there exists a one parameter subgroup $\lambda_2 \in Y_{\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi,\nu}$, then we have

$$\mu^+_{\nu,\lambda_2}(\mu^-_{\chi,\lambda_1}(M_{\mathcal{L}})) = M_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Proof. (a) Let $B_\lambda \coloneqq \{\beta_i \mid \langle \lambda, \beta_i \rangle > 0\}$, thus $B_{\lambda_1} = \{\beta_{i_1}, \cdots, \beta_{i_d}\}$ by the definition. We first remark that $B_{\lambda_1} = B_{-\lambda_2}$ holds. In fact, for $\gamma_k = \sum_{j=1}^d \beta_{i_j} - \beta_{i_k}$ $(k = 1, \cdots, d)$, we see that $\chi + \gamma_k \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \{\chi\}$ by the condition (Y2). Thus, we have $\langle -\lambda_2, -\nu \rangle < \langle -\lambda_2, -\chi - \gamma_k \rangle$, and hence $\langle -\lambda_2, \beta_{i_k} \rangle > 0$. Therefore, we have $B_{\lambda_1} \subset B_{-\lambda_2}$. We also have $B_{\lambda_1} \supset B_{-\lambda_2}$ by a similar argument. Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^-_{\nu,\lambda_2}(\mu^+_{\chi,\lambda_1}(M_{\mathcal{L}})) &= \left(\mu^+_{-\nu,-\lambda_2}\left(\left(\mu^+_{\chi,\lambda_1}(M_{\mathcal{L}})\right)^*\right)\right)^* = \left(\mu^+_{-\nu,-\lambda_2}(M^*_{\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi} \oplus M^*_{\nu})\right)^* \\
&= \left(\mu^+_{-\nu,-\lambda_2}(M_{-(\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi)} \oplus M_{-\nu})\right)^* = (M_{-(\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi)} \oplus M_{-\nu+\beta_{i_1}+\cdots+\beta_{i_d}})^* \\
&= (M_{-(\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi)} \oplus M_{-\chi})^* = M_{\mathcal{L}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) We similarly see that $B_{-\lambda_1} = B_{\lambda_2}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^+_{\nu,\lambda_2}(\mu^-_{\chi,\lambda_1}(M_{\mathcal{L}})) &= \mu^+_{\nu,\lambda_2}\left(\left(\mu^+_{-\chi,-\lambda_1}(M^*_{\mathcal{L}})\right)^*\right) = \mu^+_{\nu,\lambda_2}\left(\left(\mu^+_{-\chi,-\lambda_1}(M_{-\mathcal{L}})\right)^*\right) \\
&= \mu^+_{\nu,\lambda_2}\left((M_{-(\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi)} \oplus M_{\nu'})^*\right) = \mu^+_{\nu,\lambda_2}(M_{\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi} \oplus M_{-\nu'}) \\
&= M_{\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi} \oplus M_{-\nu'+\beta'_{i_1}+\cdots+\beta'_{i_{d'}}} = M_{\mathcal{L}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.5. Combining Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 4.1, we have several NCCRs of Segre products of polynomial rings. For example, we consider the case of $r = 2, t = 3$. By Theorem 3.6, we see that $M_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathcal{L}} M_\eta$ gives a splitting NCCR where $\mathcal{L} = \{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}$. We pick $\chi = (1,0)$. Then, we see that $\lambda = (-1,1) \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi,\chi}$. Since the weights β_i satisfying $\langle \lambda, \beta_i \rangle > 0$ are only $(0,1)$ (with the multiplicity two), we have that $\mu^+_{\chi,\lambda}(M_{\mathcal{L}}) = M_{\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi} \oplus M_\nu$ also gives a splitting NCCR by Theorem 4.1, where $\nu = (1,0) + (0,1) + (0,1) = (1,2)$.

Repeating to apply the mutations $\mu^\pm_{\chi,\lambda}$, we have the *exchange graph* shown in Figure 4. In this figure, each vertex is a splitting NCCR of R given by the direct sum of divisorial ideals represented by red dots. Also, splitting NCCRs connected by an edge are transformed each other using $\mu^+_{\chi,\lambda}$ and $\mu^-_{\chi,\lambda}$ for some $\chi \in \mathrm{X}(G)$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{L}\setminus\chi,\chi}$. Since modules giving splitting NCCRs are infinite families, we only denote generators giving NCCRs. Here, a generator is a module containing M_χ with $\chi = 0$ as the direct summand.

Appendix A. Generalized F-signature of Hibi rings

In this section, we observe conic divisorial ideals from a viewpoint of commutative algebra in positive characteristic. Especially, we investigate a certain numerical invariant which is called (generalized) F-signature.



FIGURE 4. The exchange graph of generators giving splitting NCCRs for Segre products of polynomial rings with $r = 2, t = 3$

A.1. **Preliminaries on commutative algebra in positive characteristic.** We start with a slightly general situation, that is, let A be a Noetherian ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. We define the Frobenius morphism $F : A \to A$ $(a \mapsto a^p)$. For $e \in \mathbb{N}$, we also define the e-times iterated Frobenius morphism $F^e : A \to A$ $(a \mapsto a^{p^e})$. For any A-module M, we define the A-module ${}^eM$ via F^e. That is, ${}^eM$ is just M as an abelian group, and for $m \in M$ we denote the corresponding element of ${}^eM$ by ${}^em$ then its A-module structure is defined by $a({}^em) := {}^e(F^e(a)m) = {}^e(a^{p^e}m)$ for $a \in A$. We say that A is *F-finite* if ${}^1A$ (and hence every ${}^eA$) is a finitely generated A-module. In positive characteristic commutative algebra, we investigate the properties of A through the structure of ${}^eA$ (or ${}^eM$). For example, an F-finite local ring A is regular if and only if ${}^eA$ is a free A-module [Kun]. Furthermore, various classes of singularities in positive characteristic are defined via ${}^eA$ (see e.g., Definition A.1).

In this subsection, we often consider the indecomposable decomposition of ${}^eA$. Since taking ${}^e(-)$ is compatible with the localization and the completion, we may assume that A is complete local, in which case the Krull-Schmidt theorem holds. Thus, the indecomposable decomposition of ${}^eA$ is unique up to isomorphism. In the following, we also assume that A is an F-finite domain for simplicity. Since A is reduced in particular, we can identify ${}^eA$ with the A-module A^{1/p^e} (the A-algebra consisting of p^e-th root of elements in A) by associating ${}^ea$ with a^{1/p^e} for any $a \in A$. With this viewpoint, the e-times iterated Frobenius morphism F^e is identified with the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow A^{1/p^e}$. Then, we introduce a certain class of rings in positive characteristic called strongly F-regular rings.

Definition A.1. We say that A is *strongly F-regular* if for every $0 \neq c \in A$ there exists $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$A \hookrightarrow A^{1/p^e} \xrightarrow{\cdot c^{1/p^e}} A^{1/p^e} \quad (a \mapsto c^{1/p^e}a)$$

splits as an A-linear morphism.

For understanding the structure of A^{1/p^e}, we also introduce the notion of finite F-representation type as follows.

Definition A.2. (see [SmVdB, Yao]) We say that A has *finite F-representation type* (= *FFRT*) by $\mathcal{S}$ if there is a finite set $\mathcal{S} \coloneqq \{M_0, M_1, \cdots, M_n\}$ of isomorphism classes of indecomposable finitely generated A-modules such that for any $e \in \mathbb{N}$, the A-module A^{1/p^e} is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of these modules:

$$A^{1/p^e} \cong M_0^{\oplus c_{0,e}} \oplus M_1^{\oplus c_{1,e}} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n^{\oplus c_{n,e}} \quad \text{for some } c_{i,e} \geq 0\ . \tag{A.1}$$

Moreover, we say that a finite set $\mathcal{S} = \{M_0, \cdots, M_n\}$ is the *FFRT system* of A if every A-module M_i appears non-trivially in A^{1/p^e} as a direct summand for some $e \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let A be a ring having FFRT by the FFRT system $\mathcal{S} = \{M_0, M_1, \cdots, M_n\}$. In order to detect the asymptotic behavior of the decomposition (A.1), we consider the limit

$$s(M_i, A) \coloneqq \lim_{e\to\infty} \frac{c_{i,e}}{p^{ed}} \quad (i, j = 0, 1, \cdots, n).$$

This numerical invariant was derived from the study in [SmVdB], and the existence of the limit is guaranteed under the assumption A has FFRT (see [Yao, Theorem 3.11]). Furthermore, $s(M_i, A)$ is a positive rational number if A has FFRT and is strongly F-regular (see [SmVdB, Proposition 3.3.1]). We remark that if $M_i = A$ for some i (e.g., the case where A is strongly F-regular), then $s(M_i, A)$ is nothing else but the *F-signature* of A introduced in [HL], and denoted by $s(A)$. The existence of the F-signature was proved under more general settings in [Tuc], and the positivity of $s(A)$ characterizes the strong F-regularity (see [AL]). Following [HN], we call $s(M_i, A)$ the *generalized F-signature* of M_i (with respect to A). This numerical invariant was also investigated in [Bru, HN, HS]. We can consider the A-module M_j^{1/p^e} and its asymptotic behavior in a similar way. Then, we also define the *generalized F-signature* of M_i with respect to M_j which is denoted by $s(M_i, M_j)$. It is known that this satisfies $s(M_i, M_j) = (\operatorname{rank}_A M_j) \cdot s(M_i, A)$ (see [SmVdB, Proposition 3.3.1.]).

We note some properties of the generalized F-signature used in the next subsection.

Proposition A.3. *Suppose that A is a strongly F-regular local ring. Let ω_A be the canonical module of A, and $(-)^\vee = \operatorname{Hom}_A(-, \omega_A)$ be the canonical dual.*

(a) *We have that $\omega_A \in \mathsf{add}_A(A^{1/p^e})$ for sufficiently large $e \gg 0$.*
(b) *For a finitely generated A-module M, we see that $M \in \mathsf{add}_A(A^{1/p^e})$ if and only if $M^\vee \in \mathsf{add}_A(A^{1/p^f})$ for some $e, f \in \mathbb{N}$.*
(c) *Furthermore, we assume that A has FFRT by the FFRT system $\{M_0, M_1, \cdots, M_n\}$. Then, we see that $s(M_i, A) = s(M_i^\vee, A)$ for any $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$.*

Proof. (a) If A is Gorenstein, the assertion is trivial. Thus, we assume that $A \ncong \omega_A$. Let c_e be the maximal rank of a free A-module appearing in the decomposition of ω_A^{1/p^e}. Then, by Theorem [Tuc, Theorem 4.11], we see that $\lim_{e\to\infty} \dfrac{c_e}{p^{ed}} = (\operatorname{rank}_A \omega_A)s(A) = s(A)$. Since A is strongly F-regular, we have that $s(A) > 0$, and hence $c_e > 0$ for sufficiently large $e \gg 0$ in particular. Therefore, A appears in ω_A^{1/p^e} as the direct summand. Taking the canonical dual $(-)^\vee$, we have that

$$A^{1/p^e} \cong (\omega_A^{1/p^e})^\vee \cong (A^{\oplus c_e} \oplus N_e)^\vee \cong \omega_A^{\oplus c_e} \oplus N_e^\vee,$$

where N_e has no free direct summands. Thus, we have the conclusion.

(b) First, we assume that $M \in \mathsf{add}_A(A^{1/p^e})$. By applying the canonical dual, we have $M^\vee \in \mathsf{add}_A(\omega_A^{1/p^e})$. By (a), we have that $\omega_A \in \mathsf{add}_A(A^{1/p^{e'}})$ for some $e' \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we have $M^\vee \in \mathsf{add}_A(\omega_A^{1/p^e}) \subset \mathsf{add}_A(A^{1/p^{e+e'}})$. The converse also holds by the duality.

(c) By (b), we see that $M_i, M_i^\vee \in \mathsf{add}_A(A^{1/p^e})$ for sufficiently large $e \gg 0$. Let $c_e(M_i)$ (resp. $c_e(M_i^\vee)$) be the maximal rank of M_i (resp. $M_i^\vee$) appearing in the decomposition of A^{1/p^e}. Similarly, let $d_e(M_i)$ (resp. $d_e(M_i^\vee)$) be the maximal rank of M_i (resp. $M_i^\vee$) appearing in the decomposition of ω_A^{1/p^e}. Since $A^{1/p^e} \cong (\omega_A^{1/p^e})^\vee$, we see that $c_e(M_i) = d_e(M_i^\vee)$ and $c_e(M_i^\vee) = d_e(M_i)$ by the duality of $(-)^\vee$. Since A has FFRT, the generalized F-signatures of M_i and $M_i^\vee$ exist, and we have that

$$s(M_i, A) = \lim_{e\to\infty} \frac{c_e(M_i)}{p^{ed}} = \lim_{e\to\infty} \frac{d_e(M_i^\vee)}{p^{ed}} = s(M_i^\vee, \omega_A) = (\operatorname{rank}_A \omega_A) s(M_i^\vee, A) = s(M_i^\vee, A).$$

□

Remark A.4. We remark that in the proof of Proposition A.3 (c), $c_e(M_i) \neq c_e(M_i^\vee)$ in general. In fact, let A be the Veronese subring $\Bbbk[[x, y, z]]^{(2)}$. It is known that A is a 3-dimensional strongly F-regular ring that is not Gorenstein. Also, A^{1/p^e} is decomposed as $A^{1/p^e} \cong A^{\oplus a_e} \oplus \omega_A^{\oplus b_e}$ where $a_e = \dfrac{p^{3e}+1}{2}$ and $b_e = \dfrac{p^{3e}-1}{2}$ (see [Sei, Example 5.2]). Thus, we have that $\lim_{e\to\infty} \dfrac{a_e}{p^{3e}} = \lim_{e\to\infty} \dfrac{b_e}{p^{3e}} = \dfrac{1}{2}$, but $a_e \neq b_e$.

A.2. **Generalized F-signature for some Hibi rings.** We now turn our attention to a toric ring $R = \Bbbk[\tau^\vee \cap \mathsf{M}]$ (as in Section 1) where $\Bbbk$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Recall that $\mathcal{C}(R)$ denotes the set of isomorphism-classes of conic divisorial ideals of R.

First, it is known that a toric ring R is strongly F-regular, and R has FFRT and the FFRT system is just $\mathcal{C}(R)$ (see Theorem 1.2). Moreover, the generalized F-signature of $I \in \mathcal{C}(R)$ can be obtained as the volume of the closure of full-dimensional cell corresponding to I, which is given in Lemma 2.1 (see [Bru, Section 3]). The F-signature of a toric ring was also discussed in [Sin, Kor, WY].

Remark A.5. Although the R-module R^{1/p^e} is obtained via the Frobenius morphism, this is also constructed as $\Bbbk[\tau^\vee \cap \frac{1}{p^e}\mathsf{M}]$. To consider the latter one, we do not need the Frobenius morphism, and hence the assumption $\operatorname{char}\Bbbk = p > 0$ is not so important in our situation. Nevertheless, we will continue this setting, because our purpose is to determine numerical invariants originated from the positive characteristic framework.

We compute generalized F-signatures of the Segre product of the polynomial ring with two variables, i.e., $S(t) \coloneqq \Bbbk[x_1, y_1]\# \cdots \#\Bbbk[x_t, y_t]$. By Example 2.6, we see that the conic divisorial ideals of $S(t)$ one-to-one correspond to the points contained in

$$\mathcal{C}(t) \coloneqq \{(c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1}) \in \operatorname{Cl}(S(t)) \mid |c_i| \leq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t-1, |c_i - c_j| \leq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq t-1\}.$$

(See (2.6). We are now considering the case $r_1 = \cdots = r_t = 1$.) Let $s(c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1})$ denote the generalized F-signature of the conic divisorial ideal corresponding to $(c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{C}(t)$.

Proposition A.6. *We have*

$$s(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{p}, \underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{q}) = s(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{p}, \underbrace{-1, \cdots, -1}_{q}) = \frac{1}{\binom{t}{q}(t+1)}$$

for any $p \geq 0$ and $q > 0$ with $p + q = t - 1$ and any permutation,

$$s(0, \cdots, 0) = \frac{2}{t+1}.$$

Note that $s(0, \cdots, 0)$ corresponds to the (usual) F-signature of $S(t)$.

Proof. First of all, we see that $s(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{p}, \underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{q}) = s(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{p}, \underbrace{-1, \cdots, -1}_{q})$ by Proposition A.3 (c). As we claimed before, it is enough to consider the volume of the closure of full-dimensional cell corresponding to each conic divisorial ideal, which is in $\mathcal{C}(t)$ as the element of $\operatorname{Cl}(S(t))$. Moreover, we can obtain the precise description of the cell corresponding to $(c_1, \cdots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{C}(t)$ by (2.7).

Let $\Delta_e = [-1, 0]^e \subset \mathbb{R}^e$ denote the e-dimensional unit cube.

(a) The case $s(0, \cdots, 0, 1, \cdots, 1)$:

By (2.7), the closure of the cell which we have to discuss can be described as follows:

$$\Lambda_{p,q} = \{(y', y_1, \cdots, y_t) \in \mathbb{R}^{t+1} \mid -1 \leq y' \leq 0,\ -1 \leq y_i \leq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t,$$
$$-1 \leq y' + y_t - y_i \leq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p,\ 0 \leq y' + y_t - y_j \leq 1 \text{ for } p+1 \leq j \leq p+q\}.$$

Since $y' + y_t - y_j \geq 0$ for $p+1 \leq j \leq p+q$ and $q > 0$, we see that $-1 \leq y' + y_t \leq 0$.

- If $y' = y_t = 0$, then $y_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $-1 \leq y_j \leq 0$ for $p+1 \leq j \leq p+q$.
- If $(y', y_t) = (-1, 0)$ or $(0, -1)$, then $-1 \leq y_i \leq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $y_j = -1$ for $p+1 \leq j \leq p+q$.

These imply that the vertices of $\Lambda_{p,q}$ are the following:

$$\mathbb{Z}^{t+1} \ni (y', y_t, y_1, \cdots, y_{t-1}) = \begin{cases} (0, 0, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{p}, \alpha(q)), \text{ where } \alpha(q) \in \{-1, 0\}^q, \\ (-1, 0, \alpha(p), \underbrace{-1, \cdots, -1}_{q}), \text{ where } \alpha(p) \in \{-1, 0\}^p, \\ (0, -1, \alpha(p), \underbrace{-1, \cdots, -1}_{q}), \text{ where } \alpha(p) \in \{-1, 0\}^p. \end{cases}$$

Now, we claim that $\Lambda_{p,q}$ is unimodularly equivalent to the join of Δ_q and Δ_{p+1}, where the *join* of two polytopes $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^e$ and $\Delta' \subset \mathbb{R}^{e'}$, denoted by $\Delta * \Delta' \subset \mathbb{R}^{e+e'+1}$, is a convex hull of $\{(0, \mathbf{0}, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{e+e'+1} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{(1, \alpha', \mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{R}^{e+e'+1} \mid \alpha' \in \Delta'\}$. In fact, we consder the $(2^q + 2^{p+1}) \times (t+1)$ matrix whose row vectors are those vertices and apply the following elementary column operations: add the second column to the first column and add the first column to the columns from the $(p+3)$th to the last column. Then the row vectors become as follows:

$$\begin{cases} (0, 0, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{p}, \alpha(q)), \text{ where } \alpha(q) \in \{-1, 0\}^q, \\ (-1, 0, \alpha(p), \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{q}), \text{ where } \alpha(p) \in \{-1, 0\}^p, \\ (-1, -1, \alpha(p), \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{q}), \text{ where } \alpha(p) \in \{-1, 0\}^p. \end{cases}$$

It is known by [HT, Lemma 1.3] that $\mathrm{vol}(\Delta * \Delta') \cdot (e + e' + 1)! = \mathrm{vol}(\Delta) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\Delta') \cdot e! \cdot (e')!$. Hence, we obtain that

$$s(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{p}, \underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{q}) = \mathrm{vol}(\Delta_q * \Delta_{p+1}) = \frac{(p+1)!(t-1-p)!}{(t+1)!} = \frac{1}{(t+1)\binom{t}{p+1}}$$
$$= \frac{(q-t)!q!}{(t+1)!} = \frac{1}{(t+1)\binom{t}{q}}.$$

(b) The case $s(0, \cdots, 0)$:

Since the sum of the volumes of all cells of the decomposition is equal to $\mathrm{vol}(\Delta_{t+1}) = 1$, we see that

$$\sum_{\substack{p \geq 0, q > 0 \text{ with } p+q=t-1, \\ \text{any permutation}}} \frac{2}{(t+1)\binom{t}{p+1}} + s(0, \cdots, 0) = 1.$$

The direct computations show the following:

$$1 - \sum_{\substack{p \geq 0, q > 0 \text{ with } p+q=t-1, \\ \text{any permutation}}} \frac{2}{(t+1)\binom{t}{p+1}} = 1 - \frac{2}{t+1} \sum_{q=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{\binom{t}{q}} \cdot \binom{t-1}{q} = 1 - \frac{2}{t+1} \sum_{q=1}^{t-1} \frac{t-q}{t}$$
$$= 1 - \frac{2}{t(t+1)} \cdot \frac{(t-1)t}{2} = \frac{2}{t+1}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $s(0, \cdots, 0) = \frac{2}{t+1}$, as required. □

We also compute generalized F-signatures of the Segre product of two polynomial ring, i.e., $S(r, s) \coloneqq \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1}] \# \Bbbk[y_1, \ldots, y_{s+1}]$. By Example 2.6, we see that the conic divisorial ideals of $S(r, s)$ one-to-one correspond to the points contained in

$$\mathcal{C}(r, s) \coloneqq \{c \in \mathrm{Cl}(S(r, s)) \mid -s \leq c \leq r\}.$$

(See (2.6). We are now considering the case with $t = 2, r_1 = r$ and $r_2 = s$.) Let $s(c)$ denote the generalized F-signature of the conic divisorial ideal corresponding to $c \in \mathcal{C}(r, s)$. Let $d = r + s + 1$. Note that the Krull dimension of $S(r, s)$ is d.

Proposition A.7. *We have* $s(c) = A(d, c + s + 1)/d!$, *where*

$$A(d, p) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^i \binom{d+1}{i} (p - i)^d, \quad p = 1, \ldots, d$$

are the Eulerian numbers, i.e., the number of permutations of d *objects with* $(p - 1)$ *descents.*

For more precise information on Eulerian numbers, please refer [Sta3, Section 1.3]. Remark that similar discussions were already given in [Sin, Example 7].

Proof of Proposition A.7. It suffices to consider the volume of

$$\Lambda_c = \left\{ (y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{r+s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+s+1} \mid -1 \leq y_i \leq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r + s, c - 1 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} y_i - \sum_{j=s+1}^{r+s} y_j \leq c \right\}$$

for each $-s \leq c \leq r$. We consider the unimodular transformation $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{r+s+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{r+s+1}$ defined by

$$\phi((y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_s, y_{s+1}, \ldots, y_{r+s})) = (y_0 - 1, y_1 - 1, \ldots, y_s - 1, -y_{s+1}, \ldots, -y_{r+s}).$$

Then we see that

$$\phi(\Lambda_c) = \left\{ (y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{r+s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+s+1} \mid 0 \leq y_i \leq 1, c + s \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r+s} y_i \leq c + s + 1 \right\}.$$

This polytope $\phi(\Lambda_c)$ is nothing but the *hypersimplex* and it is well known that the volume of the hypersimplex can be described in terms of Eulerian numbers. (Consult, e.g., [Sta1].) Therefore, we can conclude that the volume of Λ_c, which is equal to that of $\phi(\Lambda_c)$, coinsides with $A(d, c + s + 1)/d!$. □

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Špela Špenko for valuable discussions concerning NCCRs and for explaining results in [ŠpVdB1]. The first author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 26800015.

References

[AL] I. Aberbach and G. Leuschke, *The F-signature and strongly F-regularity*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), 51–56.
[Aus] M. Auslander, *Representation dimension of Artin algebras*, Lecture Notes, Queen Mary College, London, (1971).
[ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalo, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **36**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[Bae] C. Baeţica, *Cohen-Macaulay classes which are not conic*, Comm. Alg., **32** (2004), 1183–1188.
[Boc] R. Bocklandt, *Generating toric noncommutative crepant resolutions*, J. Algebra, **364** (2012), 119–147.
[Bro] N. Broomhead, *Dimer model and Calabi-Yau algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **215** no. 1011, (2012).
[Bru] W. Bruns, *Conic divisor classes over a normal monoid algebra*, Commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., **390**, Amer. Math. Soc., (2005), 63-71.
[BG1] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, *Divisorial linear algebra of normal semigroup rings*, Algebra and Represent. Theory, **6** (2003), 139-168.
[BG2] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, *Polytopes, rings and K-theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, (2009).
[DITV] H. Dao, O. Iyama, R. Takahashi and C. Vial, *Non-commutative resolutions and Grothendieck groups*, J. Noncommut. Geom., **9** (2015) no. 1, 21–34.
[DITW] H. Dao, O. Iyama, R. Takahashi and M. Wemyss, *Gorenstein modifications and $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein rings*, arXiv:1611.04137.
[Don] X. Dong, *Canonical modules of semigroup rings and a conjecture of Reiner*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **27** (2002), 85–97.
[Has] M. Hashimoto, *Equivariant class group. III. Almost principal fiber bundles*, arXiv:1503.02133.
[HHN] M. Hashimoto, T. Hibi and A. Noma, *Divisor class groups of affine semigroup rings associated with distributive lattices*, J. Algebra **149** (1992), no. 2, 352–357.
[HN] M. Hashimoto and Y. Nakajima, *Generalized F-signature of invariant subrings*, J. Algebra, **443** (2015), 142–152.
[HS] M. Hashimoto and P. Symonds, *The asymptotic behavior of Frobenius direct images of rings of invariants*, Adv. Math. **305** (2017), 144–164.
[HT] M. Henk and M. Tagami, *Lower bounds on the coefficients of Ehrhart polynomials*, European J. Combin. **30** (2009), 70–83.
[Hibi] T. Hibi, *Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws*, In: "Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics" (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, Eds.), Adv. Stud. *Pure Math.* **11**, North–Holland, Amsterdam, (1987), 93–109.

[HL] C. Huneke and G. Leuschke, *Two theorems about maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), no. 2, 391–404.
[IU] A. Ishii and K. Ueda, *Dimer models and the special McKay correspondence*, Geom. Topol. **19** (2015) 3405–3466.
[Iya] O. Iyama, *Auslander correspondence*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no. 1, 51–82.
[IN] O. Iyama and Y. Nakajima, *On steady non-commutative crepant resolutions*, arXiv:1509.09031.
[IR] O. Iyama and I. Reiten, *Fomin-Zelevinsky mutation and tilting modules over Calabi-Yau algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), no. 4, 1087–1149.
[IW] O. Iyama, M. Wemyss, *Maximal modifications and Auslander-Reiten duality for non-isolated singularities*, Invent. Math. **197** (2014), no. 3, 521–586.
[Kor] M. V. Korff, *F-Signature of Affine Toric Varieties*, arXiv:1110.0552.
[Kun] E. Kunz, *Characterizations of regular local rings for characteristic p*, Amer. J. Math. **41** (1969), 772–784.
[Kuz] A. Kuznetsov, *Lefschetz decompositions and categorical resolutions of singularities*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **13** (2008), no. 4, 661–696.
[Nak] Y. Nakajima, *Mutations of splitting maximal modifying modules: The case of reflexive polygons*, arXiv:1601.05203.
[Rou] R. Rouquier, *Dimensions of triangulated categories*, J. K-Theory **1** (2008), no. 2, 193–256.
[Sei] G. Seibert, *The Hilbert-Kunz function of ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type*, Arch. Math. **69** (1997), 286–296.
[Sin] A. K. Singh, *The F-signature of an affine semigroup ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **196** (2005), no. 2-3, 313–321.
[SmVdB] K. E. Smith and M. Van den Bergh, *Simplicity of rings of differential operators in prime characteristic*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **75** (1997), no. 1, 32–62.
[ŠpVdB1] Š. Špenko and M. Van den Bergh, *Non-commutative resolutions of quotient singularities*, arXiv:1502.05240.
[ŠpVdB2] Š. Špenko and M. Van den Bergh, *Non-commutative crepant resolutions for some toric singularities I*, arXiv:1701.05255.
[Sta1] R. P. Stanley, *Eulerian partitions of a unit hypercube*, in Higher Combinatorics (M. Aigner, ed.), Reidel, Dordrecht/Boston, 1977, pp. 49.
[Sta2] R. P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and invariant theory*, Relations between combinatorics and other parts of mathematics, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1979, pp. 345–355.
[Sta3] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. I, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Monterey, CA, 1986.
[Tuc] K. Tucker, *F-signature exists*, Invent. Math. **190** (2012), no. 3, 743–765.
[VdB1] M. Van den Bergh, *Cohen-Macaulayness of semi-invariants for tori*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **336** (1993), no. 2, 557–580.
[VdB2] M. Van den Bergh, *Non-Commutative Crepant Resolutions*, The Legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2004), 749–770.
[WY] K. Watanabe and K. Yoshida, *Minimal relative Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 1, 273–294.
[Yao] Y. Yao, *Modules with Finite F-Representation Type*, J. London Math. Soc. **72** (2005), no. 2, 53–72.

(A Higashitani) Department of Mathematics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Motoyama, Kamigamo, Kita-Ku, Kyoto, Japan, 603-8555
E-mail address: ahigashi@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp

(Y. Nakajima) Graduate School Of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusaku, Nagoya, 464-8602 Japan
E-mail address: m06022z@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp